

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 3570 10/709,571 05/14/2004 James M. Meyer **EXAMINER** 43270 08/23/2004 7590 ASPEN ENGINEERING SERVICES, LLC GIMIE, MAHMOUD 8425 DOUBLEHEADER RANCH ROAD **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER MORRISON, CO 80465 3747

**DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/709,571	MEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٦.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	<b>S</b>
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 May 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachment(s)  1) Motion of Deferences Cited (DTO 202)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO_413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail [		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/04.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It appears that the structure of the claimed apparatus is "an intake system through which charge air is delivered to a venturi; fuel injected into the throat of the venturi by means of a pressurized fuel injector", what follows are not structural limitations and needs to be transitioned with transitional words such as "whereby" etc.
- 2. Claims 2-5 appears to be dependent claims, but have not be properly written. The claims should be preceded by language such as "a fuel/air delivery system... as in claim 1, whereby" The subject matter of the claims as currently presented failed to particularly point the subject matter which applicants' regard their invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al (4,696,278).

Art Unit: 3747

Ito discloses a fuel/air delivery system for an internal combustion engine comprising: an intake system (1) through which charge air is delivered to a Venturi, fuel is injected (3) into the throat (col. 3, II. 44, narrowest portion) of the Venturi by means of a pressurized fuel injector (3), [whereby] the pressure at the point of injection is reduced from the intake manifold due to the Bernoulli effect, fuel vaporization is facilitated by the reduced pressure at the throat of the Venturi, air and fuel are well mixed because of the turbulence in the throat and discharge of the Venturi, and most of the pressure lost in the throat of the Venturi is recovered at the discharge of the Venturi.

With regard to claim 2, [a fuel/air delivery system for an internal combustion engine as

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

in claim 1, whereby] the performance and efficiency of the engine is improved by the

introduction of fuel by means of a fuel injector located at the throat of the venturi.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (4,696,278) in view of Beck et al (6,742,335).

Ito discloses all the limitations as applied to claims 1-2 above except the engine including turbocharger or supercharger and an EGR system.

Beck discloses an EGR system with a turbocharger.

Art Unit: 3747

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Ito by including an EGR and a turbocharger system as disclosed by Beck. The motivation to do so would have been to improve efficiency and lower emissions as is well known in the art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show an air intake with a venturi.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 703-305-

Art Unit: 3747

1037. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MG

MAHMOUD GIMIE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3747